

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/549,620 04/14/2000		04/14/2000	Anoop Kumar Mathur	H16-26292	9366	
128	7590	11/03/2004		EXAMINER		
	WELL IN UMBIA RO	TERNATIONAL IN DAD	HIRL, JOSEPH P			
P O BOX	₹ 2245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MORRIS	STOWN, N.	07962-2245		2121		

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

l a			>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/549,620	MATHUR ET AL.	
Harreory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph P. Hirl	2121	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and single of the control of t	ation. A proper reply	/ to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	a date of the final rejection	on .
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final (opriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	3 .
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered ar w or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>25-36</u> .		•	
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9-18,20-24 and 37-39</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.⊠ The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		TO LEAGHT TO THE	
10. Other:	(ο)(1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 αρεί 1 1 1 (s)		
	fatt of	1	
	Anthony K		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Group 3600

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Specifically, the following applies:

- 1. The Examiner is obligated to intrepret the claims in the broadest reasonable manner.
- 2. "One or more actions of a sequence of action" is simply an algorithm with steps which any generic model would represent.
- 3. "Annotating one or more actions of a sequence of actions in the production recipe with a desired intention for the one or more actions" can be represented by a manual input from a user, (Kohn @ 18, I 44-45) or the action of Planner 24 in relation to Knowledge-Builder 22 (Kohn @ 18, I 54-59).
- 4. Kohn's procedural model relates to a "complex distributed process" (Kohn's abstract) which relates to production or product that is characterized by quantity. Hence, Kohn's prior art anticipates "production recipe for producing a quantity of product."
- 5. All requirements for a prima facie case of obviousness related to claim 18 have been set forth in the First Office Action @ p 7, I 1-8. Motivation is setforth by the need to satisfy analysis requirements in a learning environment to achieve goal setting functionality.

